

## REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application in view of the above amendment and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The status of the claims is indicated in the listing of claims above.

In response to the objection to figures 1 and 4, the figures have been amended. Replacement sheets accompany this amendment.

In response to the objection to the title, the title is amended above.

In response to the rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 USC 112, 1st para, allegedly because the claims are not enabled, because the examiner alleges "it was physically impossible for conventional DVD players to perform seamless reproduction when transitioning between layers", applicant requests that the examiner provide some evidence that this is true or withdraw the rejection.

The DVD specification requires that the seamless playback flag be set to require seamed playback when subsequent cells are stored on different layers of the DVD. Applicant's have discovered that in some cases such cells can be decoded and presented seamlessly. The examiner has not pointed out anywhere in the prior art where it was suggested that subsequent cells recorded according to the DVD standard on different layers of the DVD should be played seamlessly.

The claims are definite and distinguished from the citations and Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) including fees for any required extension of time, to Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Michael E. Belk/  
Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357  
Senior Patent Attorney  
(914) 333-9643